



Summons to Attend

Full Council

Please note: this meeting may be filmed for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site - at the start of the meeting the Mayor will confirm if all or part of the meeting is being filmed. The images and sound recording may be used for training purposes within the Council.

Generally the public seating areas are not filmed. However by entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings for webcasting and/or training purposes.

If you have any queries regarding this, please contact the Principal Support Officer (Committee Clerk) at the meeting.

To: The Mayor and Councillors of Haringey Council.

Dear Sir/Madam,

A meeting of the Council of the London Borough of Haringey will be held at the Civic Centre, High Road, Wood Green, N22 8LE on MONDAY, 24TH MARCH, 2014 at 19:30 HRS, to transact the following business:

AGENDA

- 1. TO RECEIVE APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
- 2. TO ASK THE MAYOR TO CONSIDER THE ADMISSION OF ANY LATE ITEMS OF BUSINESS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 100B OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972**
- 3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

A member with a disclosable pecuniary interest or a prejudicial interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the authority at which the matter is considered:

- (i) must disclose the interest at the start of the meeting or when the interest becomes apparent, and
- (ii) may not participate in any discussion or vote on the matter and must withdraw from the meeting room.

A member who discloses at a meeting a disclosable pecuniary interest which is not registered in the Register of Members' Interests or the subject of a pending notification must notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest within 28 days of the disclosure.

Disclosable pecuniary interests, personal interests and prejudicial interests are defined at Paragraphs 5-7 and Appendix A of the Members' Code of Conduct

- 4. TO APPROVE AS A CORRECT RECORD THE MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 26 FEBRUARY 2014 (PAGES 1 - 18)**
- 5. TO RECEIVE SUCH COMMUNICATIONS AS THE MAYOR MAY LAY BEFORE THE COUNCIL**
- 6. THE RETIREMENT OF THE DEPUTY LORD LIEUTENANT**
- 7. TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE**
 - i. Establishing a Pensions Committee from 1 April 2014 and appointing members thereto – TO FOLLOW**
 - ii. Calendar of meetings 2014/15 – TO FOLLOW**
 - iii. Members Allowances 1 April 2014 to 31 March 2015 – TO FOLLOW**
- 8. TO RECEIVE THE REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND MONITORING OFFICER**
- 9. TO RECEIVE REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING BODIES (PAGES 19 - 22)**
 - a) Corporate Committee Report No.3 2013/14 – TO FOLLOW**
 - b) Special Committee Report No.1 2013/14**
 - c) Special Committee Report No.2 2013/14**
- 10. TO MAKE APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES (PAGES 23 - 26)**
- 11. TO CONSIDER REQUESTS TO RECEIVE DEPUTATIONS AND/OR PETITIONS AND, IF APPROVED, TO RECEIVE THEM**

12. **TO ANSWER QUESTIONS, IF ANY, IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NOS. 9 & 10**

ORAL QUESTION 1 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE, EMPLOYMENT AND CARBON REDUCTION FROM COUNCILLOR CHRISTOPHIDES:

The Department of Energy and Climate Change has just announced that Haringey will lead one of the first Green Deal Community Fund schemes; can the Cabinet Member update members on what this means for the borough?

ORAL QUESTION 2 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR REECE:

The cabinet decision on implementing a borough wide 20mph limit was first due to be taken in November 2013, but has now been postponed several times and no longer even appears on the Council's forward plan. Has the Labour cabinet u-turned on 20mph limits?

ORAL QUESTION 3 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR PLANNING AND ENFORCEMENT FROM COUNCILLOR DEMIRCI:

Can the Cabinet Member update members on the Council's work to tackle problems around HMOs in the borough?

ORAL QUESTION 4 - TO THE LEADER OF THE COUNCIL FROM COUNCILLOR WILLIAMS:

Can she confirm that two sitting Labour councillors received court summons for non-payment of council tax between April 2011 and March 2012, and say what action she has taken to ensure Labour councillors pay council tax?

ORAL QUESTION 5 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITIES FROM COUNCILLOR KHAN:

In the face of ongoing police cuts, can the Cabinet Member tell us what the Council is doing to ensure that our community safety provision in the borough is not affected?

ORAL QUESTION 6 - THE CABINET MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT FROM COUNCILLOR JENKS:

How many pot holes have been reported since the February Full Council meeting?

ORAL QUESTION 7 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR REGENERATION AND HOUSING FROM COUNCILLOR BASU:

Given that the Government has not committed to fund the Decent Homes Programme post 2014/15, can the Cabinet Member outline what the Council's plans are for continued investment?

ORAL QUESTION 8 - TO THE CABINET MEMBER FOR HOUSING AND REGENERATION FROM COUNCLLOR WILSON:

In the last 5 years how much decent homes money has been spent on council homes which the council now wish to demolish in the High Road West area?

13. TO CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING MOTIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE NO. 13

MOTION D 2013-14

Councillor Wilson has given notice that he will MOVE the following MOTION:

This Council notes that local residents will be concerned to read news stories published by the Haringey Independent and the Morning Star that suggest Labour Councillor Alan Strickland led a Council delegation earlier this month to Cannes in the south of France to meet property developers on board a luxury yacht, and that this trip cost £16,000.

This Council calls on the Chief Financial Officer and the Monitoring Officer to urgently investigate the costs and propriety of making such a trip, to establish:

- which councillors and officers took part in this trip, and how and when the decision to travel to Cannes was made;
- who was aware of this decision and why most councillors only discovered this trip had been made through the news media;
- what were the itemised expenses and total cost of the trip;
- whether the trip was sponsored by private companies who might profit from redevelopment plans, and whether this could result in potential conflicts of interest amongst councillors and officers who took part; and
- the names of the individuals and companies which the delegation met

This Council further calls on the Chief Council Officer and the Monitoring Officer to publish the findings of their investigation within 1 month of this full council meeting and send copies of their report to all councillors

MOTION E 2013-14

Councillor Bull has given notice that he will MOVE the following MOTION:

This Council believes:

- Clause 119 of the Government's Care Bill is a major change in Government policy on reconfiguration that will allow the Health Secretary to make major changes to hospitals above the heads of local people and local clinicians

- Clause 119 is not only taking decision making powers away from local commissioners but shortchanging patients. The Francis report was clear that we need to find better ways of hearing the patient voice.
- Given the financial pressures on many NHS organisations, the special administration process is likely to be used on an increasing basis in the future, raising the prospect of the Secretary of State forcing hospital closures over the heads of local communities
- There is sometimes a need to make changes to local services, but the TSA route is the wrong way to do this. It is a dramatically wrong solution to a very real problem.

This Council notes:

- Under the current Government, £2.7 billion has been cut from local council budgets for adult social care and the system is now close to collapse. Charges for vital care services, like home help and meals on wheels, are increasing fast and preventative services have all but disappeared in many areas.
- The Institute for Fiscal Studies says “Once adjusted for age, the NHS is being cut by 9% between 2010 and 2019. Suffering five years of annual 4% "efficiency saving" cuts, with the same for another five years to come; it has a £30bn funding gap.”
- Clause 119 gives the Secretary of State sweeping powers to make changes at successful hospitals, by extending the powers of the Trust Special Administrator to make recommendations affecting Trusts outside of the failing Trust to which it has been appointed. Even the highest performing, financially healthy hospitals can be closed by administrators if a neighbouring trust is failing.
- The High Court ruled that the Secretary of State did not have the power under existing legislation to implement major changes at Lewisham hospital, on the recommendation of the TSA at the neighbouring South London Healthcare Trust. Following the legal ruling they introduced an amendment to the Care Bill to make clear that the TSA can make recommendations affecting other trusts.
- The British Medical Association has said that the clause as it stands could become an avenue for “backdoor” reconfiguration being allowed as part of the failure regime and that it “was introduced without full consultation and has significant implications, which have not been thought through.”
- Jeremy Taylor, National Voices (representing patients’ groups) said “We think that this is wrong in principle and likely to be counterproductive in practice”

This Council resolves:

- To write to the Secretary of State for Health, Jeremy Hunt, to outline the Council's concerns about Clause 119 and to ask that he urgently reconsiders this issue.
- To write to Haringey's two local MPs asking them to publically raise the Council's concerns in Parliament and demand the Government rethink Clause 119.

Nick Walkley
Chief Executive
River Park House
225 High Road
Wood Green
London N22 8HQ

Thursday, 13 March 2014